



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/791,212

03/02/2004

Kenneth R. Jones

1033-MS1023

3937

60533 7590 04/15/2008  
TOLER LAW GROUP  
8500 BLUFFSTONE COVE  
SUITE A201  
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER

DUDA, ADAM K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2616

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/15/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/791,212	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JONES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ADAM K. DUDA	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Response to Arguments***

1. Examiner acknowledges the receipt of Applicants Arguments/Remarks received on 2/25/2008.

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

**Applicant argues, in numerous sections, that:**

"the Office Action admits that <REFERENCE> does not disclose or suggest ..."

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

The terminology used in the office action does not mean "<REFERENCE> does not disclose or suggest ... " and does not use the applicant's the words, and meaning, whether it be verbatim or not. The office action uses the terminology "<REFERENCE> does not specifically disclose" because the reference does suggest portions of the invention but doesn't specify the specifics as disclosed in the claims by the applicant.

**Applicant argues on pages 11, 12, and 14 that:**

"Hodge discloses an alternative to NTP and SNTP that avoids use of Global Positioning Software (GPS) receivers."

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

Hodge discloses using Global Positioning System (GPS) on the 3<sup>rd</sup> line of the "**Detailed Description**" of the invention. The applicant has quoted the "**Background of the Invention**". The 3<sup>rd</sup> line, of the "**Detailed Description**" states "In network 100, a Goba Positioning System (GPS) 105 provides timing 110 ... ". Lastly, GPS is an acronym for "Global Positioning System" (Not Global Positioning Software as it is mistakenly used)

Art Unit: 2616

which encompasses, both, the hardware and software components. Therefore, the applicant's arguments are irrelevant.

**Applicant argues that:**

"Further, the cited portions of Hodge do not disclose or suggest a home network node that includes a clock, as in claim 19, or a home network node that includes an oven, as in claim 20. Instead, Hodge discloses customer premises equipment to initiate a process providing synchronization and time of day information, and which are subsequently synchronized with a time server. See Hodge, Abstract. Further, the cited portions of Cisco do not disclose or suggest a home network node that includes a clock, as in claim 19, or a home network node that includes an oven, as in claim 20. For this additional reason, claims 19 and 20 are allowable."

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

Applicant's specification on pages 6 and 7 define computer premise equipment (CPE) as "may include home appliances, kitchen appliances, consumer electronic devices, computers, microwave ovens, conventional ovens, video recorders, alarm clocks, air conditioning systems, heating systems, alarm systems, and voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP telephones)". Therefore, by the definition of CPE Hodge does disclose ovens and clocks. Therefore, the applicant's arguments are irrelevant.

**Applicant argues that:**

"Further, the Office Action has failed to demonstrate a motivation to combine the cited portions of Hodge and Cisco to support an obviousness rejection. A statement that modifications of the prior art to meet the claimed invention would have been "well within the ordinary skill of the art at the time the claimed invention was made" because the references relied upon teach that all aspects of the claimed invention were individually known in the art is not sufficient to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness without some objective reason to combine the teachings of the references. (emphasis added) Ex parte Levengood, 28 USPQ2d 1300 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1993). See also In re Kotzab, 217 F.3d 1365, 1371, 55 USPQ2d 1313, 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2000). See also MPEP 2143.01 (IV). Applicants submit that the Office has provided no explanation supporting a combination of the synchronization method of Hodge based on deriving a round trip transit time of operation administration and maintenance (OAM) cells, with the broadband muter of Cisco that supports SNTP Client and Server Protocol. Applicants submit that the combination represents improper hindsight reconstruction and should be withdrawn."

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

The motivation to combine the references of Hodge and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure broadband routers is presented at the end of the rejection to claim one. More

particularly, the motivation follows the words “thereby creating”. Therefore, the applicant’s argument is irrelevant.

**Applicant argues that:**

“Further, the dependent claims include additional features that are not disclosed or suggested by the cited portions of the cited references. For example, the cited portions of Hodge do not disclose or suggest a system that includes a home network node, where the home network node includes a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) telephone, as in claim 18. Instead, Hodge discloses Customer Premise Equipment (CPEs) 131-139 that receive universal time information from a first network provider time server, which receives timing information from a Global Positioning System. See Hodge, col. 4, lines 35-42 and Fig. 1. Hodge further discloses that the CPEs 131-139 transmit and receive information or data over paths or links to CPEs 161-169, which derive timing information from a second network provider time server. See Hodge, col. 4, lines 40-45 and Fig. 1. Hodge does not disclose or suggest a home network node that includes a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) telephone. Further, the cited portions of Cisco do not disclose or suggest a system that includes a home network node, where the home network node includes a VoIP telephone, as in claim 18. For this additional reason, claim 18 is allowable.”

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

Applicant’s specification on pages 6 and 7 define computer premise equipment (CPE) as “may include home appliances, kitchen appliances, consumer electronic devices, computers, microwave ovens, conventional ovens, video recorders, alarm clocks, air conditioning systems, heating systems, alarm systems, and voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) telephones”. Therefore, by the definition of CPE Hodge does disclose Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) telephones. Therefore, the applicant’s arguments are irrelevant.

**Applicant argues that:**

**On pages 10-11:**

Further, the dependent claims include additional features that are not disclosed or suggested by the cited portions of the cited references. For example, the Office Action admits that Hodge does not disclose or suggest that a home network node includes a Network Time Protocol (NTP) server, as in claim 2. See Office Action, page 11. Hodge discloses that SNTP is not as reliable as NTP and SNTP is usually not recommended for use in primary servers. See Hodge, col. 3, lines 18-24. Thus, Hodge differentiates SNTP from NTP. In further contrast to claim 2, Cisco discloses that protocols supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers include SNTP Client and Server Protocol. See Cisco, page 5, Table 4. Cisco does not disclose or suggest that a home network node includes an NTP server. Therefore, Hodge and Cisco, separately or in combination, fail to disclose that a home network node includes a network Time Protocol (NTP) server, as in claim 2. For this additional reason, claim 2 is allowable.

**On pages 13-14:**

In further contrast to claim 34, Hodge discloses a method that maintains synchronization of a time server and CPE without the complexity of NTP. See Hodge, col. 3, lines 44-46. Hodge distinguishes SNTP from NTP and discloses that SNTP is not as reliable as NTP and is usually not recommended for use in primary servers. See Hodge, Col. 3, lines 22-25. Further, the cited portions of Cisco fail to disclose or suggest outputting a Network Time Protocol (NTP) request to a NTP server, as in claim 34. Instead, Cisco discloses that Cisco SOHO 90 Series routers support SNTP Client and Server Protocol. See Cisco, page 5, Table 4 (emphasis added). Therefore, the cited portions of Hodge and Cisco, separately or in combination, fail to disclose at least one element of claim 34. For this additional reason, claim 34 is allowable.

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

Examiner agrees with the statement that "SNTP is not as reliable as NTP and SNTP is usually not recommended for use in primary servers". The SNTP standard was established in 1996 (Standard RFC2030) following the establishment of the NTP (Standard RFC1305) standard in 1992. Based on the standards, therefore well known, that "The packets of information sent to and received from the time server does not differ between the two modes of operation. The packet structure does not vary" (see SPECTRACOM) in reference to "NTP" and "SNTP" being the two mode of operation. Therefore, if a server is running SNTP it is NTP compliant. Furthermore "The time client software running on the PC determines whether NTP or SNTP is being used. The time server doesn't know or care which mode is running on the PC" (see SPECTRACOM). The examiner agrees with the statement that "SNTP is not as reliable as NTP and SNTP is usually not recommended for use in primary servers" because SNTP is used on clients and furthermore, as stated in SPECTRACOM, "NTP calculates the drift of the PC clock and then adjusts the drift rate so that the time is always correct. SNTP jumps the time to the correct value at each specified interval. NTP algorithm provides greater accuracy but is much more complicated to use." On page 5 (paragraph 0015) of the applicant's specification the applicant states that "In some embodiments, an executing NTP client may be configured to send a single timing request to a single server and rely

on that server's response to set clocks. Such a simple client may be referred to as an SNTP client (Simple Network Time Protocol)". Therefore, SNTP is a subset of NTP and the applicant's arguments are irrelevant.

**Applicant argues that:**

As explained above, the cited portions of Hodge and Cisco fail to disclose at least one element of claim 28, from which claim 30 depends. The cited portions of Aironet Release Notes fail to disclose the elements of claim 28 that are not disclosed or suggested by the cited portions of Hodge and Cisco. For example, the cited portions of Aironet Release Notes do not disclose **receiving a request for time information communicated from a piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting a service provider network node and the piece of CPE**, as in claim 28. Instead, Aironet Release Notes discloses new features of firmware version 12.00NT, including Quality of Service Support. See Aironet Release Notes, page 2. Therefore, the cited portions of Hodge, Cisco, and Aironet Release Notes, separately or in combination, fail to disclose at least one element of claim 28, or of claim 30, which depends from claim 28. Hence, claim 30 is allowable over the cited portions of Hodge, Cisco, and Aironet Release Notes.

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

The limitation of "receiving a request for time information communicated from a piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting a service provider network node and the piece of CPE" is disclosed by Hodge (see Claim 28 rejection). Applicant does not argue that the limitation is not disclosed by Hodge but that the limitation is not disclosed by Aironet Release Notes, a document which is used in combination with Hodge to show that the features of claim 30 were obvious in the art. Therefore, the applicant's argument is irrelevant because Aironet Release notes were not cited to reject the limitation.

**Applicant argues that:**

As explained above, the cited portions of Hodge and Cisco fail to disclose at least one element of claim 28, from which claims 32 and 33 depend. The cited portions of van der Kaay fail to disclose the elements of claim 28 that are not disclosed or Suggested by the cited portions of Hodge and Cisco. For example, the cited portions of van der Kaay do not disclose **receiving a request for time information communicated from a piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting a service provider network node and the piece of CPE**, as in

claim 28. Instead, van der Kaay discloses certifying a trusted local clock with a trusted master clock and signing time stamps using public key cryptography to enable subsequent authentication. See van der Kaay, Abstract. Van der Kaay does not disclose **a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting a service provider network node and a piece of CPE**. Therefore, the cited portions of Hodge, Cisco and van der Kaay, separately or in combination, fail to disclose at least one element of claim 28, or of claims 32 and 33, which depend from claim 28. Hence, claims 32 and 33 are allowable.

**Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:**

The limitation of *"receiving a request for time information communicated from a piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting a service provider network node and the piece of CPE"* is disclosed by Hodge (see Claim 28 rejection). Applicant does not argue that the limitation is not disclosed by Hodge but that the limitation is not disclosed by van der Kaay, a document which is used in combination with Hodge to show that the features of claims 32 and 33 were obvious in the art. Therefore, the applicant's argument is irrelevant because van der Kaay was not cited to reject the limitation.

The limitation of *"a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting a service provider network node and a piece of CPE"* is disclosed by Cisco SOHO Series 90 Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet (see Claim 28 rejection), a document which is used in combination with Hodge to show that the features of claims 32 and 33 were obvious in the art. Therefore, the applicant's argument is irrelevant because van der Kaay was not cited to reject the limitation.

Regarding claim 1, Hodge did not specifically disclose receiving a time synchronization request at a home network node **"comprising a web server"**. Cisco



SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet discloses, as cited, “a home and small office router that is computer premise equipment, therefore equipment located on the customer network premises, such as web server, as a result contains a http daemon, that recognizes network time protocol synchronization requests, thus receiving time synchronization requests). Therefore, Cisco shows the limitation of receiving a time synchronization request at a home network node “comprising a web server”. The limitation is taught on page 1 “Easy Set Up and Deployment”, page 3 “Table 1 Key Product Features and Benefits of the Cisco 90 Series”, and page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco 90 Series Routers”. Hodge was modified with Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet to show such features were obvious in the art.

Regarding claim 1, Hodge did not specifically disclose outputting a time signal to a requesting device via a home network, the requesting device including a different node of the home network. Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet discloses, as cited, “simple network time protocol server and client support, therefore, network time protocol information is gathered from a remote NTP server while listening for customer premise equipment's, such as a home network client's, time signal requests. The limitation is taught on page 5 “Table 4: Protocol and features supported by Cisco SOHO 90 series routers”. Therefore, Cisco shows the limitation of “outputting a time signal to a requesting device via a home network, the requesting device including a different node of the home network”. Hodge was modified with Cisco

SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet to show such features were obvious in the art.

Regarding claim 14, Hodge did not specifically disclose a time adjustment system including memory that includes instructions operable to direct a process to embody a web server, to receive a timing signal from a remote public internet time code protocol server, and to communicate time information representing the timing signal to a home network node via a home networking mechanism. Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet discloses, as cited, that the router is a web server, therefore the memory comprises instructions operable to direct the processor (see page 1 "Easy Set Up and Deployment", page 3 "Table 1 Key Product Features and benefits of the Cisco SOHO 90 Series", and page 5 "Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers") and the router supports being a network time protocol server and client, thus receiving a timing signal (see page 5 "Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers") and communicating time information to the home or small office network (see page 5 "Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers"). Therefore, Cisco shows the limitation of "a time adjustment system including memory that includes instructions operable to direct a process to embody a web server" – "to receive a timing signal from a remote public internet time code protocol server" – "and to communicate time information representing the timing signal to a home network node via a home networking mechanism". Hodge was modified with Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet to show such features were obvious in the art.

Regarding claim 28, Hodge did not specifically disclose a piece of CPE comprising a broadband modem device. Hodge does disclose making a remote time service available to a subscriber of a broadband data service; receiving a request for time information communicated from the piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting the service provider network node and the piece of CPE; outputting an Internet Protocol packet via the broadband communication link, the IP packet comprising at least a partial representation of the time information. Hodge discloses, as cited, that timing information is provided in communications networks (see abstract), that the time server supplies time information to CPE through links, therefore the time server is responding to requests for time information from the CPE's (see col. 2 lines 27-65, col. 4 lines 36-41), and that the time server supplies time information to customer premise equipment through links, therefore IP packets containing universal time information are transmitted through links (see col. 2 lines 27-65, col. 4 lines 36-41). Therefore, Hodge shows the limitations of “making a remote time service available to a subscriber of a broadband data service” – “receiving a request for time information communicated from the piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting the service provider network node and the piece of CPE” – “outputting an Internet Protocol packet via the broadband communication link, the IP packet comprising at least a partial representation of the time information”. Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet discloses, as cited, that the broadband router, a piece of computer premise equipment (CPE), comprises a broadband modem device (see page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband

access for small offices”). Therefore, Cisco shows the limitation of “a piece of CPE comprising a broadband modem device”. Hodge was modified with Cisco to show such features were obvious in the art.

Regarding claim 34, Hodge did not specifically disclose outputting a Network Time Protocol (NTP) request to a NTP server. Hodge discloses, as cited, that the computer premise equipment, therefore a router such as a Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Router which is a NTP client and server, receives NTP requests and serves other computer premise equipment (see figure 1, col. 1 lines 5-8, col. 2 lines 27-65 state “NTP provides the mechanism to synchronize and coordinate time distribution in a large, diverse internet operating at rates from a few kilobits/second to lightwave rates” and “NTP Provides the protocol mechanisms, to synchronize time in principle to precision on the order of nanoseconds while preserving a non-ambiguous date”, and ). Therefore, Hodge shows the limitation of outputting a Network Time Protocol (NTP) request to a NTP server.

As a result, the argued features read up the references as follows.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-21, 23-29, 31, and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hodge et al. (U.S. 6,438,702 B1)** and further in view of **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers, 1992-2002, Cisco Systems, all pages.**

Consider claim 1, **Hodge et al.** disclose a method of clock setting comprising **(see Hodge; Abstract; the time synchronization, therefore clock setting)**: receiving a time synchronization request at a home network node **(see Hodge; Abstract; time synchronization request is received by customer premise equipment, therefore a network node, a computer)**; and, however **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose receiving a time synchronization request at a home network node comprising a web server; and outputting a time signal to a requesting device via a home network, the requesting device comprising a different node of the home network. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** specifies receiving a time synchronization request at a home network node comprising a web server **(see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 “Easy Set Up and Deployment”;** page 3 “Table 1 Key Product Features and Benefits of the Cisco SOHO 90 Series”; **page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”;** page 5 “Table 4: Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; **a home and small office router that is computer premise equipment, therefore equipment located on the customer network premises, such as web server, as a result contains a http daemon, that recognizes network time protocol synchronization requests, thus receiving time**

**synchronization requests**); and outputting a time signal to a requesting device via a home network, the requesting device comprising a different node of the home network (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**; page 5 “**Table 4: Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers**”; simple network time protocol server and client support, therefore network time protocol information is gathered from a remote NTP server while listening for customer premise equipment’s, such as a home network client, time signal requests).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by specifying receiving a time synchronization request at a home network node comprising a web server; and outputting a time signal to a requesting device via a home network, the requesting device comprising a different node of the home network, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating a network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible.

Consider claim 14, **Hodge et al.** discloses a time adjustment system (see **Hodge et al.; Abstract; a time synchronization system, therefore time adjustment system**), however **Hodge et al.** does not specifically specify a time adjustment system comprising: a housing component at least partially defining an external surface and an internal cavity; a broadband modem component at least partially located within the internal cavity; a home networking mechanism at least partially located within the internal cavity and communicatively coupled to the broadband modem, the home

Art Unit: 2616

networking mechanism operable to facilitate providing a home network node with access to a backhaul enabled by the broadband modem; a processor at least partially located within the internal cavity and communicatively coupled to the broadband modem and to a memory; and the memory comprising instructions operable to direct the processor to embody a web server, to receive a timing signal from a remote Public Internet time code protocol server, and to communicate time information representing the timing signal to the home network node via the home networking mechanism.

**Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses A time adjustment system (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”**; router runs network time protocol client and server to provide time adjustment functionality), comprising: a housing component at least partially defining an external surface and an internal cavity (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 Figure 1 “SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers”**; a housing component with an external surface and an internal cavity); a broadband modem component at least partially located within the internal cavity (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”**; a integrated broadband ADSL WAN port, a broadband modem component); a home networking mechanism at least partially located within the internal cavity and communicatively coupled to the broadband modem (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use,**

**broadband access for small offices”; page 1 Figure 1 “SEOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers”; a home and small office networking router, a networking mechanism, located within the internal cavity with integrated broadband ADSL WAN port, a broadband modem component), the home networking mechanism operable to facilitate providing a home network node with access to a backhaul enabled by the broadband modem (see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”; page 1 “Secure Internet Access”; page 1 “Easy Set Up and Deployment”; the networking router, a networking mechanism, enables broadband connection sharing by the broadband modem); a processor at least partially located within the internal cavity and communicatively coupled to the broadband modem and to a memory (see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 Table 2 “Cisco SOHO 90 Series Hardware Specification”; a router processor from the router, therefore located within the internal cavity and in communication to the memory and broadband modem) the memory comprising instructions operable to direct the processor to embody a web server (see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 “Easy Set Up and Deployment”; page 3 “Table 1 Key Product Features and Benefits of the Cisco SOHO 90 Series”; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; the router is a web server, therefore the memory comprises instructions operable to direct the processor), to receive a timing signal from a remote Public Internet time code protocol server (see**



**Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; router supports being a network time protocol server and client, thus receiving a timing signal), and to communicate time information representing the timing signal to the home network node via the home networking mechanism (see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; router supports being a network time protocol client and server, thus communicating time information to the home or small office network).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by specifying a time adjustment system comprising: a housing component at least partially defining an external surface and an internal cavity; a broadband modem component at least partially located within the internal cavity; a home networking mechanism at least partially located within the internal cavity and communicatively coupled to the broadband modem, the home networking mechanism operable to facilitate providing a home network node with access to a backhaul enabled by the broadband modem; a processor at least partially located within the internal cavity and communicatively coupled to the broadband modem and to a memory; and the memory comprising instructions operable to direct the processor to embody a web server, to receive a timing signal from a remote Public Internet time code protocol server, and to communicate time information representing the timing signal to the home network node via the home networking mechanism, as

taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet**, thereby creating a network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible and simplifying the network infrastructure node complexity.

Consider claim 28, **Hodge et al** discloses a method of adjusting a remote time keeping device system (**see Hodge et al.; Abstract; a time synchronization method, adjusting a remote time keeping device system**), comprising: making a remote time adjustment service available to a subscriber of a data service (**see Hodge et al.; Abstract; col. 1 lines 5-8; col. 2 lines 26-65; timing information is provided in communications networks**) communicatively coupling a service provider network node with a piece of customer premises equipment (CPE) associated with the subscriber (**see Hodge et al.; Figure 1; col. 4 lines 31-52; col. 7 lines 5-19; a network provider network providing timing information to the customer premise equipment**), receiving a request for time information communicated from the piece of CPE via a communication link at least partially interconnecting the service provider network node and the piece of CPE (**see Hodge et al.; col. 2 lines 27-65; col. 4 lines 36-41; time server supplies time information to customer premise equipment through links, therefore the time server responding to requests for time information from the CPE's**) maintaining time information representing a Coordinated Universal Time value in a memory (**see Hodge et al.; col. 1 lines 5-8; invention provides universal time information, therefore Coordinated Universal Time values**); and outputting an Internet Protocol (IP) packet via the broadband

Art Unit: 2616

communication link, the IP packet comprising at least a partial representation of the time information (**see Hodge et al.; col. 2 lines 27-65; col. 4 lines 36-41; time server supplies time information to customer premise equipment through links, therefore IP packets containing universal time information are transmitted through links**), however **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose making a remote time adjustment service available to a subscriber of a broadband data service; the piece of CPE comprising a broadband modem device; receiving a request for time information communicated from the piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting the service provider network node and the piece of CPE; outputting an Internet Protocol (IP) packet via the broadband communication link, the IP packet comprising at least a partial representation of the time information. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses specifically disclose making a remote time adjustment service available to a subscriber of a broadband data service (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; the router provides broadband internet service, thus data service, while providing time adjustment service through the network time protocol**); the piece of CPE comprising a broadband modem device (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”; the broadband router, a piece of computer premise equipment (CPE), router comprises a broadband modem**

**device**); receiving a request for time information communicated from the piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting the service provider network node and the piece of CPE **(see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”;** a router, thus a piece of CPE, that has a broadband communication link used for connecting to a service provider to receive timing information at the router, a piece of CPE); outputting an Internet Protocol (IP) packet via the broadband communication link, the IP packet comprising at least a partial representation of the time information **(see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”;** router supports the NTP protocol, therefore does NTP communication, that contains time information, through the broadband communication link).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by making a remote time adjustment service available to a subscriber of a broadband data service; the piece of CPE comprising a broadband modem device; receiving a request for time information communicated from the piece of CPE via a broadband communication link at least partially interconnecting the service provider network node and the piece of CPE; outputting an Internet Protocol (IP) packet via the broadband communication link, the IP packet comprising at least a partial representation of the time information, as taught by

**Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating a network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible while allowing for advanced management capabilities (page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”).

Consider claim 2, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose wherein the home network node further comprises a Network Time Protocol (NTP) server. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses wherein the home network node further comprises a Network Time Protocol (NTP) server (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**; page 5 “Table 4: Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; the router supports functionality to be a network time protocol server).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by wherein the home network node further comprises a Network Time Protocol (NTP) server, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating a network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible while simplifying the network infrastructure node complexity.

Consider claim 3, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose wherein the home network node further comprises a broadband modem (i.e. to provide a network connection). **Cisco SOHO 71 Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses wherein the

home network node further comprises a broadband modem (**i.e. to provide a network connection; see Cisco SOHO 71 Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 “Table 1 Benefits Overview of Cisco SOHO 71 Broadband router”; a broadband router that acts as a modem**).

Consider claim 4, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose wherein the home network node further comprises a router, further comprising establishing the home network with the router. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses wherein the home network node further comprises a router, further comprising establishing the home network with the router (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”; a router which establishes a home or small office network**).

Consider claim 6, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose further comprising receiving at the home network node a network timing signal via a digital subscriber line access multiplexer. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses further comprising receiving at the home network node a network timing signal via a digital subscriber line access multiplexer (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 6 “Table 5”; a router that is digital subscriber line access multiplexer (DSLAM) interoperable**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by further comprising receiving at the home network node a network timing signal via a digital subscriber line access multiplexer, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure node complexity.

Consider claim 7, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose receiving at the home network node a network timing signal via a cable modem termination system. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses receiving at the home network node a network timing signal via a cable modem termination system (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**; a NTP compatible router that connects to the internet using a cable or DSL modem, therefore receives a network timing signal via a cable modem termination system).

Consider claim 8, Hodge et al. teaches the method, wherein the different node comprises a piece of Internet Protocol enabled Customer Premises Equipment (IP-enabled CPE) (see **Hodge et al.**; **Figure 1**; **Abstract**; **computer premise equipment, therefore computer equipment connected to the network on the customer premises, is present**)

Consider claim 9, Hodge et al. teaches the method of claim 8, wherein the IP-enabled CPE is selected from a group consisting of a telephone, a clock, a kitchen appliance, a television, a game console, and a Set Top Box (STB) **(see Hodge et al.; Figure 1; Abstract; computer premise equipment, therefore equipment connected to the network on the customer premises, is present and time synchronized with the network provider's time server).**

Consider claim 10, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose further comprising utilizing a Hypertext Transfer Protocol daemon (i.e. **http server, web server, etc.**) to respond to the time synchronization request. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses further comprising utilizing a Hypertext Transfer Protocol daemon (i.e. **http server, web server, etc.**) to respond to the time synchronization request **(see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 "Easy Set Up and Deployment"; page 3 "Table 1 Key Product Features and Benefits of the Cisco SOHO 90 Series"; page 5 "Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers"; a router that is a web server, therefore contains a http daemon, that recognizes network time protocol synchronization requests).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby allowing for easy setup and deployment **(see**



**Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 “Easy Set up and Deployment”).**

Consider claim 11, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose further comprising: recognizing the time synchronization request with a Hypertext Transfer Protocol daemon (**i.e. http server, web server, etc.**); accessing information from a Network Time Protocol (NTP) server (**i.e. a switch or router running NTP**) executing at the home network node, the information representing a Coordinated Universal Time value; and including a representation of the information in the time signal. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses further comprising: recognizing the time synchronization request with a Hypertext~Transfer Protocol daemon (**i.e. http server, web server, etc.; see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 “Easy Set Up and Deployment”; page 3 “Table 1 Key Product Features and Benefits of the Cisco SOHO 90 Series”; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; a router that is a web server that recognizes time synchronization requests**); accessing information from a Network Time Protocol (**NTP**) server (**i.e. a switch or router running NTP**) executing at the home network node, the information representing a Coordinated Universal Time value (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; a network time protocol, therefore information sent is representing a Coordinated Universal Time**); and including a representation of the

information in the time signal (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; network time protocol data represent time signal data**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by disclose further comprising: recognizing the time synchronization request with a Hypertext Transfer Protocol daemon (**i.e. http server, web server, etc.**); accessing information from a Network Time Protocol (NTP) server (**i.e. a switch or router running NTP**) executing at the home network node, the information representing a Coordinated Universal Time value; and including a representation of the information in the time signal, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible.

Consider claim 12, **Hodge et al.** discloses receiving time synchronization requests at the home network node (**see Hodge et al.; col. 4 lines 32-65; retrieval of time synchronization requests at the computer premise equipment, the home network node**), however **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose outputting another time signal to a different requesting device via the home network, the different requesting device comprising another node (**i.e. computer premise equipment**) of the home network. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses outputting another time signal to a different requesting device via the home network, the different requesting device comprising another node (**i.e. computer**

**premise equipment) of the home network (see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4: Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; router acts as an SNTP server, therefore sending time synchronization information to different requesting computer premise equipment on the home or small office network).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible.

Consider claim 13, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose further comprising: receiving another time synchronization request at the home network node outputting another time signal to a different requesting device via the home network, the different requesting device comprising another node (**i.e. computer premise equipment**) of the home network. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses further comprising: receiving another time synchronization request at the home network node (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4: Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; router acts as an SNTP client, therefore receiving time synchronization requests at the home or small office network node**) and outputting another time signal to a different requesting device via the home network, the different

Art Unit: 2616

requesting device comprising another node (**i.e. computer premise equipment**) of the home network (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 5 “Table 4: Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”**; router acts as an SNTP server, therefore sending time synchronization information to different requesting computer premise equipment on the home or small office network).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by further comprising: receiving another time synchronization request at the home network node outputting another time signal to a different requesting device via the home network, the different requesting device comprising another node (**i.e. computer premise equipment**) of the home network, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating thereby creating network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible.

Consider claim 15, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose further comprising a network operator access concentrator (**i.e. a device that allows for communication between two devices**) communicatively coupled to the broadband modem and operable to pass the timing signal. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses further comprising a network operator access concentrator (**i.e. a device that allows for communication between two devices**)

Art Unit: 2616

communicatively coupled to the broadband modem and operable to pass the timing signal (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 6 “Table 5”; network time protocol is a client and server therefore receives timing signal through broadband connection, therefore communication between the broadband modem and timing signal exists**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by disclosing further comprising a network operator access concentrator (**i.e. a device that allows for communication between two devices**) communicatively coupled to the broadband modem and operable to pass the timing signal, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure node complexity.

Consider claim 16, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose wherein the access concentrator (**i.e. a device that allows for communication between two devices**) comprises a digital subscriber line access multiplexer. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses the access concentrator (**i.e. a device that allows for communication between two devices**) comprises a digital subscriber line access multiplexer (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 6 “Table 5”; a router that is digital subscriber line access multiplexer (DSLAM) interoperable**).

Consider claim 17, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose the access concentrator comprises a cable modem termination system. **CISCO SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses the access concentrator comprises a cable modem termination system (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; a NTP compatible router that connects to the internet using a cable or DSL modem, therefore receives a network timing signal via a cable modem termination system**).

Consider claim 18, Hodge et al. teaches the system, further comprising the home network node, wherein the home network node comprises a Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) telephone (see **Hodge et al.; Figure 1; Abstract; computer premise equipment, therefore Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) telephone equipment connected to the network on the customer premises**).

Consider claim 19, Hodge et al. teaches the system, further comprising the home network node, wherein the home network node comprises a clock (see **Hodge et al.; Figure 1; Abstract; computer premise equipment, therefore a clock equipment connected to the network on the customer premises**).

Consider claim 20, Hodge et al. teaches the system, further comprising the home network node, wherein the home network node comprises an oven (see **Hodge et al.;**

**Figure 1; Abstract; computer premise equipment, therefore an oven connected to the network on the customer premises).**

Consider claim 21, Hodge et al. teaches the system, further comprising the home network node, wherein the home network node comprises a piece of Internet Protocol enabled consumer electronic equipment (**see Hodge et al.; Figure 1; Abstract; computer premise equipment, therefore IP enabled equipment connected to the network on the customer premises).**

Consider claim 23, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose wherein the broadband modem comprises an xDSL modem. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses wherein the broadband modem comprises an xDSL modem (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 7 “SOHO 97 ADSL Specifications”; a router that supports DSL).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by wherein the broadband modem comprises an xDSL modem, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure.

Consider claim 24, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose wherein the broadband modem comprises a cable modem. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses wherein the broadband modem comprises a

cable modem (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for the small offices”; broadband modem comprises a cable modem**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by wherein the broadband modem comprises a cable modem, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure.

Consider claim 25, Hodge et al, teaches the system 14, further comprising a plurality of home network nodes (**see Hodge et al.; Figure 1; Abstract; computer premise equipment, therefore equipment connected to the network on the customer premises, is present**).

Consider claim 26, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose the system wherein the memory comprises instructions operable to direct the processor to broadcast the time information to the plurality of home network nodes. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses the system wherein the memory comprises instructions operable to direct the processor to broadcast the time information to the plurality of home network nodes (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 4 “Tabel 2 Cisco SOHO 90 Series Hardware Specification”; page 5 “Table 4: Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; network time protocol is supported by the router as a**



**client and server, therefore the memory comprises instructions operable to direct the processor to broadcast the time information to the plurality of home network nodes).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by disclosing the system wherein the memory comprises instructions operable to direct the processor to broadcast the time information to the plurality of home network nodes, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating a network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible.

Consider claim 27, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose the system further comprising a Hypertext Transfer Protocol daemon (**i.e. http server, web server, etc.**) operable to receive a request for the time information from the home network node. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** discloses the system further comprising a Hypertext Transfer Protocol daemon (**i.e. http server, web server, etc.**) operable to receive a request for the time information from the home network node (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**; page 1 “Easy Set Up and Deployment”; page 3 “Table 1 Key Product Features and Benefits of the Cisco SOHO 90 Series”; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; a router that is a web server, therefore contains a http daemon, that recognizes network time protocol synchronization requests).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by disclosing the system further comprising a Hypertext Transfer Protocol daemon (**i.e. http server, web server, etc.**) operable to receive a request for the time information from the home network node, as taught by **Cisco Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby allowing for easy setup and deployment (**see Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet; page 1 “Easy Set up and Deployment**) and simplifying the network infrastructure (topology).

Consider claim 29, **Hodge et al.** discloses further comprising providing the subscriber with the piece of CPE (**see Hodge et al.; col. 1 lines 27-65; col. 4 lines 37-41; col. 7 lines 15-19; Figure 1; subscriber has computer premise equipment**), the piece of CPE comprising a service provider network interface and a home network interface (**see Hodge et al.; col. 1 lines 27-65; col. 4 lines 37-41; col. 7 lines 15-19; Figure 1; customer premise equipment is connected to network provider, therefore comprising a service provider network interface to connect**), however **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose ), the piece of CPE further comprising a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) daemon operable to receive a home network request for time adjustment information from a home network node via the home network interface. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet** discloses the piece of CPE further comprising a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) daemon operable to receive a home network request for time adjustment information

from a home network node via the home network interface (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**; page 1 “Easy Set Up and Deployment”; page 3 “Table 1 Key Product Features and Benefits of the Cisco SOHO 90 Series”; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; page 5 “Table 4: Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”; a home and small office router that is computer premise equipment, therefore equipment located on the customer network premises, such as web server, as a result contains a http daemon, that recognizes network time protocol adjustment information).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al.** by the piece of CPE further comprising a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) daemon operable to receive a home network request for time adjustment information from a home network node via the home network interface, as taught by **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure (topology) and creating a network device that is more Internet Engineering Taskforce (IETF) request for comment (RFC) compatible.

Consider claim 31, **Hodge et al.** does not specifically disclose comprising a Point to Point over Ethernet (i.e. **PPPoE: Point to Point Protocol over Ethernet**) client executing on the processor. **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers**

**Data Sheet** discloses comprising a Point to Point over Ethernet (i.e. **PPPoE: Point to Point Protocol over Ethernet**) client executing on the processor (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet**; page 4 “**Table 2 Cisco SOHO 90 Series Hardware Specifications**”; page 4 “**Table 4 Protocols and Features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers**”; a processor that executes **PPPoE client functionality**).

Consider claim 34, Hodge et al. teaches further comprising: outputting a Network Time Protocol (**NTP**) request to a NTP server (see **Hodge et al.**; **Figure 1**; **col. 1 lines 5-8**; **col. 2 lines 27-65**; **col. 4 lines 37-41**; the computer premise equipment, therefore a router such as **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Router** which is a **NTP client and server, receives NPT requests and serves other computer premise equipment**); receiving a response from the NTP server including a different Coordinated Universal Time value (i.e. **universal time information**; see **Hodge et al.**; **col. 1 lines 5-8**; **universal time information is received from the provider time server**) and updating the time information in the memory to represent the different Coordinated Universal Time value (see **Hodge et al.**; **col. 2 lines 27-65**; **col. 7 lines 15-39**; **time synchronization between devices, thus a memory is updated to represent the universal time value**).

3. Claims 5, 22 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hodge et al. (U.S. 6,438,702 B1) and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers, 1992-2002, Cisco Systems, all pages** as applied to claims 1-4, 6-21, 23-29, 31, and 34 above, and further in view of **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T, 2002, Cisco Systems, all pages.**

Consider claim 5, **Hodge et al. and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** do not specifically disclose the router comprises a wireless router embodying an 802.11 (x) access point. **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T** discloses the router comprises a wireless router embodying an 802.11 (x) access point (**see Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T; page 4 "Limitations and Restrictions"; a wireless access point supporting IEEE 802.11 links**).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al. and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** by the router comprises a wireless router embodying an 802.11 (x) access point, as taught by **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure (**i.e. topology**) by replacing two nodes with one node.

Consider claim 22, **Hodge et al. and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** do not specifically disclose wherein the home networking mechanism comprises an 802.11 (x) access point. **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T** discloses wherein the home networking mechanism comprises an 802.11 (x) access point (see **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T**; page 4 “Limitations and Restrictions”; a wireless access point supporting IEEE 802.11 links).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al. and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** by wherein the home networking mechanism comprises an 802.11 (x) access point, as taught by **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure (i.e. topology) by replacing two nodes with one node.

Consider claim 30, **Hodge et al. and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet** discloses wherein the piece of CPE is an integrated home networking device comprising the broadband modem device, the HTTP daemon, a processor, a router (see **Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet**; page 1 “Affordable, secure, easy-to-use, broadband access for small offices”; page 4 “Table 2 Cisco SOHO 90 Series Hardware Specifications”; page 5 “Table 4 Protocols and features Supported by Cisco SOHO 90 Series Routers”;

Art Unit: 2616

the router comprises a broadband mode, web server, thus a http daemon, and a processor), however **Hodge et al. and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet** does not specifically disclose a local area wireless transceiver. **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T** discloses a local area wireless transceiver (see **Release Notes for Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Points Running Firmware Version 12.00T**; page 2 “Introduction”; a local area wireless transceiver).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al. and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers Data Sheet** by a local area wireless transceiver, as taught by **Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Point Running Firmware Version 12.00T**, thereby simplifying the network infrastructure (topology) by integrating the components.

4. Claims 32 and 33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hodge et al. (U.S. 6,438,702 B1) and Cisco SOHO 90 Series Secure Broadband Routers, 1992-2002, Cisco Systems, all pages** as applied to claims 1-4, 6-21, 23-29, 31, and 34 above, and further in view of **van der Kaay et al. (U.S. 6,393,126 B1)**

Consider claim 32, **Hodge et al. and Cisco Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** does not specifically disclose maintaining a repository comprising information about the subscriber, the information indicating that the subscriber (**i.e. client**) subscribes to the remote time adjustment service; considering the information in connection with generating an; and including a charge for the remote time adjustment service in the invoice. **Van der Kaay et al.** discloses: maintaining a repository comprising information about the subscriber (**see van der Kaay et al.; col. 15 lines 39-49; billing reports are created for individual clients automatically, therefore a repository is maintained with comprises information about the subscribers**), the information indicating that the subscriber (**i.e. client**) subscribes to the remote time adjustment service (**see van der Kaay et al.; col.. 15 lines 39-49; a client is billed, therefore the client subscribes to the remote time adjustment service**); considering the information in connection with generating an invoice (**i.e. billing report**) for the subscriber (**see van der Kaay et al.; col. 15 lines 39-49; billing reports are created for individual clients automatically, therefore a repository is maintained with**



**comprises information about the subscribers); and including a charge for the remote time adjustment service in the invoice (see van der Kaay et al.; col. 15 lines 39-49; billing reports are created for individual clients automatically, therefore a repository is maintained with comprises information about the subscribers' remote time adjustment service).**

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al. and Cisco Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** by maintaining a repository comprising information about the subscriber, the information indicating that the subscriber (**i.e. client**) subscribes to the remote time adjustment service; considering the information in connection with generating an; and including a charge for the remote time adjustment service in the invoice, as taught by **Van der Kaay et al.**, thereby facilitating the operation of the invention as an on-going business concern (**col. 15 lines 39-49**).

Consider claim 33, **Hodge et al. and Cisco Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** does not specifically disclose further comprising making the remote time adjustment service available to a plurality of subscribers. **Van der Kaay et al.** discloses further comprising making the remote time adjustment service available to a plurality of subscribers (**i.e. clients; see van der Kaay et al.; col. 15 lines 39-49; speaks of a plurality of clients, thus the remote time adjustment service is available to a plurality of subscribers**).

Art Unit: 2616

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of **Hodge et al. and Cisco Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet** by further comprising making the remote time adjustment service available to a plurality of subscribers, as taught by **Hodge et al. and Cisco Series Secure Broadband Router Data Sheet**, thereby creating facilitating the operation of the invention as an on-going business concern (**col. 15 lines 39-49**).

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

---, "Cisco SOHO 71 Broadband Router", 1992-2001, Cisco Systems, all pages.

Mills, David L., "Network Time Protocol (Version 3) Specification, Implementation, and Analysis (RFC 1305)", all pages.

Deeths, David et al., "Using NTP to Control and Synchronize System Clocks - Part I: Introduction to NTP", all pages.

Demopoulos, Drusie, "Switching routers answer the call for more bandwidth, performance", Jun 30, 1997, Network World, all pages

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADAM K. DUDA whose telephone number is (571)270-5136. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang B. Yao can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. K. D./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2616

11 April 2008

**/Kwang B. Yao/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616**